

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,473	03/16/2006	Josef Buechler	510.1140	3261
	7590 04/03/200 idson & Kappel, LLC	EXAMINER		
485 7th Avenue		BARKER, MATTHEW M		
New York, NY	10018		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3662	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/537,473	BUECHLER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MATTHEW M. BARKER	3662		

	Examiner	AILOIIL						
	MATTHEW M. BARKER	3662						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 16 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. It no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee se action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re-		ne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	Od Con attacked blasics of blas Co		DTOL 224)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) 								
7. \(\bigcirc \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the provided of the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:								
/Thomas H. Tarcza/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3662	/M. M. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3662							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that that the combined signal of Hillman is transmitted after processing (e.g. modulation at the chosen RF), not the baseband. The argument, while correct, is not convincing because the upconverted (RF) signal retains the same relationship as is present in the baseband signal; the RF signal still has a predefined spectrum with the data located in a peripheral region of that spectrum. Therefore, Hillman does teach that a data signal is transmitted in a transmission frequency range for the data signal.